

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,742	04/07/2000	Alex Kuperman	44251	2331
109 7	590 01/21/2004		EXAMINER	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION P. O. BOX 1967			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLAND, M	II 48641-1967		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/544,742	KUPERMAN ET AL.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward M. Johnson	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		•			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 8,10-17 and 19-21.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,18,22-32 and 37</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_			
					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed addition of the limitation specifying a "hydro-oxidation" catalyst is a new issue, which would require further consideration and/or search. The proposed amendment also presents additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued throughout that the cited reference does not disclose a "hydro-oxidation" catalyst. This is not persuasive because the rejected claims are not yet limited to a "hydro-oxidation catalyst, since the proposed amendment has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a "hydro-oxidation" catalyst) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

SUPERVISORY PATENT CXAMERIES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700